

Message

From: Ohl, Matthew [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=5BDE479F1AB54A9EBC9541A7D452C3B7-MOHL]
Sent: 9/20/2021 4:57:57 PM
To: Becker, David J CIV USARMY CEHNC (USA) [Dave.J.Becker@usace.army.mil]; Clabaugh, William B CIV USARMY CELRL (USA) [William.B.Clabaugh@usace.army.mil]
CC: Knox, Corey S CIV (USA) [Corey.S.Knox@usace.army.mil]; Hauber, Erin M CIV USARMY CEHNC (USA) [Erin.M.Hauber@usace.army.mil]

Non-responsive

Matthew J. Ohl
Remedial Project Manager
United States Environmental Protection Agency
77 West Jackson Boulevard, SR-6J
Chicago, IL 60604-3590

phone: 312.886.4442
fax: 312.692.2447
e-mail: ohl.matthew@epa.gov

From: Becker, David J CIV USARMY CEHNC (USA) <Dave.J.Becker@usace.army.mil>
Sent: Monday, September 20, 2021 11:35 AM
To: Ohl, Matthew <ohl.matthew@epa.gov>; Clabaugh, William B CIV USARMY CELRL (USA) <William.B.Clabaugh@usace.army.mil>
Cc: Knox, Corey S CIV (USA) <Corey.S.Knox@usace.army.mil>; Hauber, Erin M CIV USARMY CEHNC (USA) <Erin.M.Hauber@usace.army.mil>

Non-responsive

Non-responsive

From: Ohl, Matthew <ohl.matthew@epa.gov>

Sent: Monday, September 20, 2021 10:01 AM

To: Clabaugh, William B CIV USARMY CELRL (USA) <William.B.Clabaugh@usace.army.mil>; Becker, David J CIV USARMY CEHNC (USA) <Dave.J.Becker@usace.army.mil>

Cc: Knox, Corey S CIV (USA) <Corey.S.Knox@usace.army.mil>

Non-responsive

From: Norman Bernstein <nwbernstein@nwblc.com>

Sent: Monday, September 20, 2021 9:53 AM

To: Ohl, Matthew <ohl.matthew@epa.gov>

Cc: Julie Konzuk <JKonzuk@geosyntec.com>; Andrew A Gremos <agremos@ramboll.com>; Gary Wealthall <GWealthall@geosyntec.com>; Peter Racher <pracher@psrb.com>; Clabaugh, William B CIV USARMY CELRL (USA) <William.B.Clabaugh@usace.army.mil>; Becker, David J CIV USARMY CEHNC (USA) <Dave.J.Becker@usace.army.mil>; Knox, Corey S CIV (USA) <Corey.S.Knox@usace.army.mil>; Krueger, Thomas <krueger.thomas@epa.gov>; DPetroff <DPetroff@idem.IN.gov>

Subject: Re: FW: Third Site: Cost benefit analysis of thermally enhanced bioremediation vs. conventional bioremediation

Matt

Thanks for these. I will let Julie respond. But I do have a question for the Corps. We have 1,2-Dichlorobenze to deal with as one of the Total VOCs. Is 40C enough to treat 1,2-Dichlorobenze?

Norm

On Mon, Sep 20, 2021 at 10:17 AM Ohl, Matthew <ohl.matthew@epa.gov> wrote:

Good morning Julie,

After further thermally enhanced treatment and a comparison and analysis of the results, if ambient temperature bioremediation is selected, we recommend identifying metrics to be met within a certain timeframe (1-2 years) and implementing additional treatment if the metrics are not met. In preparation for our next call here are the initial comments from USACE.

- 1) The costing assumptions (number of injection events, duration of treatment required to reduce concentrations to 4 mg/L, robust ERH heating approach with 7 new electrodes, PCU, etc.) appear biased

towards selection of ambient temperature bioremediation. We recommend reaching out to a few vendors for a quote specifically for low temperature systems rather than rely on a cost model that may include traditional ERH systems. Studies of lower wattage heaters have been done by Colorado State as well.

- 2) The risk of DNAPL and dissolved phase mobilization due to enhanced temperature is overstated based on geology of the site. Proper design and implementation of the low-temp ERH system can mediate the risk further by keeping the temp below 40C.
- 3) There is a concern that the time to treat the remaining contamination using ambient temperature bioremediation is underestimated and will be significant. There is also a risk that additional injections are required that are not accounted for in the cost estimate.
- 4) Thermal enhancement maintains the currently prescribed and accepted remedial approach for the Third Site.

Let me know if you have any questions. We have been working with one of the co-authors of the Macbeth et al. 2015 study that was referenced in the report, and can request additional clarification from her if needed.

Thank you,

Matt

Matthew J. Ohl
Remedial Project Manager
United States Environmental Protection Agency
77 West Jackson Boulevard, SR-6J
Chicago, IL 60604-3590

phone: 312.886.4442
fax: 312.692.2447
e-mail: ohl.matthew@epa.gov

From: Julie Konzuk <JKonzuk@Geosyntec.com>

Sent: Tuesday, August 10, 2021 11:04 PM

To: Ohl, Matthew <ohl.matthew@epa.gov>

Cc: dave.j.becker@usace.army.mil; corey.s.knox@usace.army.mil; Andrew A Gremos <agremos@ramboll.com>; Norman Bernstein <nwbernstein@nwbllc.com>; pracher@psrb.com; Gary Wealthall <GWealthall@Geosyntec.com>; Krueger, Thomas <krueger.thomas@epa.gov>; DPetroff <DPetroff@idem.IN.gov>; Clabaugh, William B CIV USARMY CELRL (USA <William.B.Clabaugh@usace.army.mil>

Subject: Cost benefit analysis of thermally enhanced bioremediation vs. conventional bioremediation

Matt,

As discussed during our call on July 15, 2021, we have put together the attached memorandum summarizing the advantages, limitations and potential risks associated with implementing thermally-enhanced bioremediation compared to conventional bioremediation to treat the DNAPL phase encountered at PSGS-11 in the DNAPL Cell at Third Site. We have also included a cost benefit analysis comparing both technologies to support the discussion. As you will see in the attached document, there are additional potential risks of mobilization of mass in unknown ways that we are concerned about when heating DNAPL phase. The cost benefit analysis also demonstrates that any additional benefit in potentially reducing the lifespan of the DNAPL is outweighed by a substantial increases in costs.

We trust that this information helps to support EPA's review of our proposed work plan for BIOREMED hot-spot treatment for breakdown of the DNAPL in the PSGS-11 area in the Third Site DNAPL Cell. We look forward to hearing from you.

Regards,

Julie

Julie Konzuk, Ph.D., P.Eng. (ON)

Senior Principal

Geosyntec Consultants International, Inc.

1243 Islington Avenue

Suite 1201

Toronto, ON M8X 1Y9

Phone: 416.637.8746 Mobile: 416.271.2373

[GEOSYNTEC](#) | [SIREM](#) | [SAVRON](#)

Follow Us – [LinkedIn](#) | [Twitter](#) | [Facebook](#) | [YouTube](#)

--

Norman W. Bernstein
N.W. Bernstein & Associates, LLC
800 Westchester Ave., Suite N319
Rye Brook, N.Y. 10573
(914) 358-3500

In accordance with Internal Revenue Service Circular 230, any discussion of a federal tax issue in this communication or in any attachment is not intended to be used, and it cannot be used, for the purpose of avoiding federal tax penalties.

This message may contain confidential information that is protected by the attorney-client privilege or otherwise. If you are not the intended recipient, any disclosure, copying, distribution, or the taking action in reliance on the contents of this message is prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender immediately by e-mail and delete the original message. Thank you.